arXiv:1411.0434v1 [math.CA] 3 Nov 2014

Filters and Functions in Multi-scale Constructions: Extended Abstract

Wayne M. Lawton[1]

[1]Applied Mathematics Program, Science Division, Mahidol University International College, Nakornpathom 73170, Thailand;
School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.
wayne.lawton@uwa.edu.au

Abstract

We derive results about geometric means of the Fourier modulus of filters and functions related to refinable distributions with arbitrary dilations and translations. Then we develop multi-scale constructions for dilations by Pisot-Vijayaraghavan numbers and translations in associated quasilattices.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 11R06; 42C15; 47A68

1 Introduction and Statement of Results

In this paper $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ denote the integer, natural, rational, real, and complex numbers and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the circle group.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$, $a_1, ...a_m \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, $a_1 + \cdots + a_m = |\lambda|$, $\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_m \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$A(y) = |\lambda|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \, e^{2\pi i \tau_j y}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

There exists a unique compactly supported distribution f that satisfies $\int f(x)dx = 1$ and the refinement equation

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j f(\lambda \, x - \tau_j) \tag{2}$$

The Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{2\pi i x y} \, dx$ satisfies $\widehat{f}\,(0) = 1$ and admits the product expansion

$$\widehat{f}\,(y) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} A\left(y \lambda^{-k} \right). \tag{3}$$

$A(y)$ and $\widehat{f}\,(y)$ are the filters and functions of this paper. Equation 3 implies that they are related by the functional equation

$$\widehat{f}\,\left(y \, \lambda^k \right) = \widehat{f}\,(y) \prod_{j=1}^{k} A\left(y \, \lambda^j \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}$$

The Mahler measure [25] (or height) $M(P)$ of a polynomial $P(z_1, ..., z_d)$ is defined by

$$M(P) = \exp \int_{t_1=0}^{1} \cdots \int_{t_d=0}^{1} \ln |P(e^{2\pi i \, t_1}, ..., e^{2\pi i \, t_d})| \, dt_1 \ldots dt_d. \tag{5}$$

For $P(z) = c(z - \omega_1) \cdots (z - \omega_q)$ Jensen's theorem [14] gives $M(P) = |c| \prod_{j=1}^{q} \max\{ 1, |\, \omega_j \,| \}$. Mahler measure arises in prediction theory of stationary random processes [17], algebraic dynamics [11], and in Lehmer's open problem in number theory [24].

$A(y)$ is an almost periodic function in the (uniform) sense of Bohr [3]. Let d be the

maximal number of linearly independent $\tau_1, ..., \tau_m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. $A(y)$ is periodic if and only if $d = 1$. There exists a polynomial $P(z_1, ..., z_d)$ and real numbers $r_1, ..., r_d$ such that

$$A(y) = P(e^{2\pi i r_1 y}, ..., e^{2\pi i r_d y}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 1 $\ln|A(y)|$ *is almost periodic in the (mean squared) sense of Besicovitch [2]. Furthermore*

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} \ln|A(y)| = \ln M(P). \tag{7}$$

Definition 1 *The Mahler measure of* A *is* $M(A) = M(P)$.

Theorem 2 *Define* $\rho(f) = -\ln M(A)/\ln\lambda$.

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L\ln L} \int_{-L}^{L} \ln|\widehat{f}\,(y)|\, dy = -\rho(f). \tag{8}$$

The Hausdorff dimension of a Borel measure is defined in ([30], p. 6) as

$$\dim(\nu) = \inf\{\, \dim(B) \,:\, B \text{ is a Borel subset of } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \nu(\mathbb{R}\backslash B) = 0 \,\} \tag{9}$$

where $\dim(B)$ is the Hausdorff dimension of B.

Conjecture 1 *If* f *is a Borel measure then* $\rho(f) = dim(f)$.

If conjecture 1 is validated we propose to call $\rho(f)$ the Hausdorff dimension of f.

An algebraic integer λ is a Pisot-Vijayaraghavan (PV) number if the roots $\lambda = \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ of its minimal polynomial $\Lambda(z)$ satisfy $|\lambda_j| < 1$, $j = 2, ..., n$. They were discovered by Thue [37], rediscovered by Hardy [13] who with Vijayaraghavan studied their Diophantine approximation [7] properties, and studied by Pisot [31] in his dissertation. Examples are:

$\lambda = \lambda_1$	λ_2	λ_2	λ_4	minimal polynomial
1.6180	-0.6180			$z^2 - z - 1$
-1.6180	0.6180			$z^2 + z - 1$
3.4142	0.5858			$z^2 - 4z + 2$
2.2470	0.5550	-0.8019		$z^3 - 2z^2 - z + 1$
1.3247	$-0.6624 + 0.5623i$	$-0.6624 - 0.5623i$		$z^3 - z - 1$
1.3803	-0.8192	$0.2194 + 0.9145i$	$0.2194 - 0.9145i$	$z^4 - z^3 - 1$

If λ is a PV number, $m = 2$, $a_1 = a_2 = |\lambda|/2$, $\tau_1 = 0$, and $\tau_2 = 1$ then f is a measure defined by a Bernoulli convolution and Erdös [10] proved that there exists $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \widehat{f}\,(\alpha\lambda^k) = \gamma. \tag{10}$$

Erdös' proof used Equation 4 and the fact that the set of real α, for which there exists a sequence of integers n_k with $|\alpha\,\lambda^k - n_k| \to 0$ exponentially fast, is dense.

An algebraic integer λ is a Salem number [34] if the roots $\lambda = \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ of its minimal polynomial satisfy $|\lambda_j| \le 1$, $j = 2, ..., n$. Like PV numbers, Salem numbers appear in Diophantine approximation and harmonic analysis [27, 35]. The smallest 1.17628 is the Mahler measure of Lehmer's polynomial $z^{10} + z^9 - z^7 - z^6 - z^5 - z^4 - z^3 + z + 1$ and is conjectured to be the smallest known Mahler measure > 1 of any polynomial.

Kahane [15] extended Erdös' result for λ a Salem number and Dai, Feng and Wang [8] extended Kahane's result for $m > 2$ and $\tau_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3 *If* λ *is a PV number with degree* n, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$, $\{\tau_1, ..., \tau_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[1, \lambda, ..., \lambda^{n-1}\right]$, *and* $\widehat{f}\,(\alpha\,\lambda^k) \neq 0$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *then the following limit exists*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\ln|\widehat{f}\,(\alpha\,\lambda^k)|}{k\,\ln|\lambda|} \tag{11}$$

and equals the mean value of $\ln|P_{trig}|$ *over a finite orbit of* C *in the* n*-dimensional torus group. Here* P_{trig} *is the trigonometric polynomial on the torus constructed from the polynomial* P *by Equation 27 and the polynomial* P *is related to* $A(y)$ *by Equation 6. If* λ *is not an integer then* α *can be chosen such that* $\lim_{k\to} \widehat{f}\,(\alpha\lambda^k) = \gamma$ *where* $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ *thus extending the results in [8] to noninteger values of* τ_j*.*

Section 2 reviews notation and analytical results for Theorems 1 and 2, which are proved in Section 3, and algebraic results for Theorem 3, which is proved in Section 3, and for Lemma 9, which is proved in Section 4. Section 4 constructs multi-scale analyses for spaces of distributions based on translations by points in quasilattice subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.

2 Preliminary Results

Let μ be Lebesgue measure. For a function $K : I \to \mathbb{C}$ on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ let K' be its derivative. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}^n$ is n-dimensional Euclidean vector space with norm $||\,||$, $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is a rank n lattice subgroup, $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ is the n-dimensional torus group equipped with Haar measure, and $p_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ is the canonical epimorphism. Vectors are represented as column vectors and T denotes transpose. We define $||\;||_{\mathbb{T}^n} : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$||\,g\,||_{\mathbb{T}^n} = \min\{\,||\,x\,|| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } p_n(x) = g\,\}, \quad g \in \mathbb{T}^n.$$

Lemma 1 (**Titchmarsh**) *If* h *is a compactly supported distribution and* $[a, b]$ *is the smallest interval containing the support of* h *then*

$$H(z) = \int_a^b h(t)e^{2\pi zt}dt \tag{12}$$

is an entire function of exponential type. Moreover the number $n(r)$ *of zeros of* $H(z)$ *in the disk* $|z| < r$ *satisfies*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} = 2(b - a). \tag{13}$$

Titchmarsh's proof (Theorem IV, [38]) assumed that h was a Lebesgue integrable function but a simple regularization argument implies that if h is a compactly supported distribution then Equation 13 holds. Therefore there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\text{card}\,\{\,y\,:\,y \in [-L, L] \text{ and } H(y) = 0\,\} \leq \beta\,L, \quad L \geq 1 \tag{14}$$

Lemma 2 *Let* $I = [a, b]$ *and* $K : I \to \mathbb{C}$ *be differentiable such that the image* $K'(I)$ *of* I *under* K' *is contained in a single quadrant of* $\mathbb{C}$*. If* $u > 0, v > 0$ *and* $|K| \leq v$ *over* I *and* $|K'| \geq u$ *over* I *then*

$$\mu(I) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}v}{u}. \tag{15}$$

Proof We present the proof that we gave in ([19], Lemma 1). Since $u \leq |K'|$ over I and $\mu(I) = b - a$, the triangle inequality $|K'| \leq |\Re\,K'| + |\Im\,K'|$ gives

$$u\,\mu(I) \leq \int_a^b |K'(y)|\,dy \leq \int_a^b (\,|\Re\,K'(y)| + |\Im\,K'(y)|\,)\,dy.$$

Since $K'(I)$ is contained in a single quadrant of $\mathbb{C}$ there exist $c \in \{1, -1\}, d \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $|\Re\,K'(y)| = c\,\Re\,K'(y)$ and $|\Im\,K'(y)| = d\,\Im\,K'(y)$ for all $y \in I$. Therefore

$$\int_a^b (\,|\Re\,K'(y)| + |\Im\,K'(y)|\,)\,dy = (c\,\Re K(b) + d\,\Im K(b)) - (c\,\Re K(a) + d\,\Im K(a)).$$

Since $|c| = |d| = 1$ and $|K(y)| \leq v$ over I, the quantity on right is bounded above by $2\sqrt{2}\,v$. Combining these three inequalities completes the proof.

Lemma 3 *There exists a sequence $c_2, c_3, ... > 0$ such that for all monic polynomials $P(z)$ with $k \geq 2$ nonzero coefficients*

$$\mu(\{\, y \in [0,1] \,:\, |P(e^{2\pi i\, y})| \leq v \,\}) \leq c_k\, v^{1/(k-1)}, \quad v > 0. \tag{16}$$

Proof We proved this in (Theorem 1, [19]) using induction on k, lemma 2, and the fact that a polynomial of degree q can have at most q distinct roots.

Remark We used Lemma 3 and the method we developed in [18] and Boyd developed in [6] to prove a conjecture that he formulated in [6]. The conjecture expresses the Mahler measure of a multidimensional polynomial as a limit of Mahler measures of univariate polynomials and provides an alternative proof of the special case of Lehmer's conjecture proved in [9]. The conjecture is stated in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mahler_measure

Lemma 4 *There exists a sequence $c_2, c_3, ... > 0$ such that*

$$\mu(\{\, y \in [-L,L] \,:\, |A(y)| \leq v \,\}) \leq L\, c_m\, v^{1/(m-1)}, \quad L \geq 1,\ v > 0. \tag{17}$$

Proof We observe that Lemma 4 concerns (not necessarily periodic) trigonometric polynomials. The conclusion is obvious for $m = 2$ so we assume that $m \geq 3$ and proceed by induction on m using a technique similar to the one we used to prove Lemma 3. Since $|e^{-2\pi i y} A(y)| = |A(y)|$ we may assume without loss of generality that $0 = \tau_1 < \cdots \tau_m$. Then $A'(y)$ is a trigonometric polynomial with $m-1$ terms so by induction there exists $c_{m-1} > 0$ such that

$$\mu(\{\, y \in [-L,L] \,:\, |A'(y)| \leq u \,\}) \leq L\, c_{m-1}\, u^{1/(m-2)}, \quad L \geq 1,\, u > 0. \tag{18}$$

We observe that A and A', their real and imaginary parts, and their squared moduli are restrictions of functions of exponential type so they satisfy the hypothesis in Lemma 1. Therefore Equation 14 implies that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that for all $u > 0, v > 0$ and $L \geq 1$ the subset of $[-L, L]$ where $|A'(y)| \geq u$ and $|A(y)| \leq v$ can be expressed as the union of not more than $\beta\, L$ closed intervals I such that $A'(I)$ is contained in a single quadrant of $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore Lemma 2 implies that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\mu(\{\, y \in [-L,L] \,:\, |A(y)| \leq v \text{ and } |A'(y)| \geq u \,\}) \leq \frac{L\,\beta\, v}{u}, \quad L \geq 1,\, u > 0,\, v > 0. \tag{19}$$

Combining Equations 18 and 19 gives for every $L \geq 1$, $v > 0$

$$\mu(\{\, y \in [-L,L] \,:\, |A(y)| \leq v \,\}) \leq L \min_{u>0} \left[\, c_{m-1}\, u^{1/(m-2)} + \frac{\beta\, v}{u} \,\right] = L c_m v^{1/(m-1)} \tag{20}$$

where $c_m = (1 + \beta(m-2)) \left[\, \frac{c_{m-1}}{\beta(m-2)} \,\right]^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}}$.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r = [r_1, ..., r_d]^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the homomorphism $\Psi_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}^d$ by

$$\Psi_r(y) = p_d(yr), \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{21}$$

where $p_d : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$ is the canonical epimorphism.

Lemma 5 (**Bohl–Sierpinski–Weyl**) *The image of Ψ_r is a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^d$ if and only if the components $r_1, ..., r_d$ of r are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. If they are independent then for every continuous function $S : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{C}$*

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} S \circ \Psi_r\,(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} S(g)\, dg \tag{22}$$

where $S \circ \Psi_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the composition of S with Ψ_r and dg is Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^d$.

Proof Arnold ([1], p. 285–289) discuss the significance and gives Weyl's proof of this classic result called the *Theorem on Averages* and asserts it "may be found implicitly in the work of Laplace, Lagrange, and Gauss on celestial mechanics" and "A rigorous proof was given only in 1909 by P. Bohl, V. Sierpinski, and H. Weyl in connection with a problem

of Lagrange on the mean motion of the earth's perihelion."

The analytic results above suffice to prove Theorems 1 and 2. The following algebraic results are required to prove Theorem 3 and Lemma 9.

If λ is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial $\Lambda(z) = z^n + c_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + c_0,\ c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ that has roots $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$, then the companion matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ -c_0 & -c_1 & \ldots & \ldots & -c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

Vandermonde matrix

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \ldots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \ldots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and diagonal matrix $D = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ...\lambda_n)$ satisfy

$$C^k\, V = V\, D^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{23}$$

The matrix VV^T is invertible since $\det VV^T = |c_0|^2$ and it has integer entries since

$$(VV^T)_{i,j} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \lambda_\ell^{i+j-2} = \mathrm{trace}\ C^{i+j-2}, \quad i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}. \tag{24}$$

Define $v = [\,1,\, \lambda_1,\, \ldots, \lambda_1^{n-1}\,]^T$. For $q \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ let $u = V^T(VV^T)^{-1}q = [\,\alpha_1,\, \ldots, \alpha_n]^T$. Then $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_j)$, $j = 1, ..., n$ and $V\,u = q$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ then there exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}^T$ such that $\alpha = v^T b$. Then $u = V^T b = [\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n]^T$ satisfies $\alpha = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_j)$, $j = 1, ..., n$ and $q = Vu \in \mathbb{Q}^n$.

Now assume that λ is a PV number. Then Equation 23 implies that

$$||\, C^k q - \alpha\, \lambda^k\, v|| \to 0 \tag{25}$$

where the convergence is exponentially fast. Then Equation 25 implies that

$$||\, p_n(C^k q - \alpha\, \lambda^k\, v)||_{\mathbb{T}^n} = ||\, C^k p_n(q) - p_n(\alpha\, \lambda^k\, v)||_{\mathbb{T}^n} \to 0. \tag{26}$$

Since $p_n(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ is the set of preperiodic points of the endomorphism $C : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ then the sequence $p_n(\alpha\, \lambda^k\, v) \in \mathbb{T}^n$ converges exponentially fast to a periodic orbit of C. Conversely every periodic orbit is the limit of such a sequence.

Remark 1 *We suggest further study of the preperiodic points of C using results from integral matrices discussed by Newman [29], the open dynamical version of the Manin-Mumford Conjecture solved by Raynaud [32], [33], and connections between Mahler measure and torsion points developed by Le [23]. We also suggest extensions obtained by replacing $\mathbb{R}^n$ by certain Lie groups (stratified nilpotent groups with rational structure constants) considered in [20].*

The following result, proved by Minkowsky in 1896 [28], is the foundation of the geometry of numbers ([12], II.7.2, Theorem 1), ([26], Theorem 4.7)

Lemma 6 (**Minkowski**) *If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex, $X = -X$, and the volume of X exceeds 2^n then X contains a point in $\mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \{0\}$.*

3 Proofs

For every nonzero polynomial $P(z_1, \dots, z_d)$ we define the trigonometric polynomial $P_{\text{trig}} : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$P_{trig}(p_d([t_1, \dots, t_d]^T)) = P(e^{2\pi i\, t_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i\, t_d}). \tag{27}$$

and observe through a simple induction based computation that $\ln|P_{trig}| \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Henceforth we assume that $r = [r_1, \dots, r_d]^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $P(z_1, \dots, z_d)$ are chosen to satisfy Equation 6. Therefore $r_1, \dots, r_d$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and moreover

$$A(y) = P_{trig} \circ \Psi_r(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{28}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 For all $v > 0$ define $S_v : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S_v(g) = \ln\left(\max\{v, |P_{trig}(g)|\}\right).$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{v\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\, S_v(g) - \ln|P_{trig}(g)|\,|^2 dg = 0. \tag{29}$$

Lemma 4 implies that

$$\lim_{v\to 0} \lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} |\, \ln|A(y)| - S_v \circ \Psi_r(y))\,|^2 dy = 0 \tag{30}$$

and hence $\ln|A(y)|$ is almost periodic in the sense of Besicovitch. We combine Equations 29 and 30 with Lemma 5 to complete the proof by computing

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} \ln|A(y)| dy & = & \lim_{v\to 0} \lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} S_v \circ \Psi_r(y)) dy & = & \\ \lim_{v\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} S_v(g) dg & = & \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \ln|P_{trig}(g)| dg & = & M(P). \end{array}$$

Remark Since the zero set of P_{trig} is a real analytic set, an alternative proof based on Lojasiewicz's structure theorem [16], [22] for real analytic sets may be possible using methods that we developed in [21] to prove the Lagarias-Wang Conjecture.

Proof of Theorem 2 Let $L = b|\lambda|^k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in [\,|\lambda^{-1}|, 1\,)$ then use the functional Equation 4 and Theorem 1 to compute

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L \ln L} \int_{-L}^{L} \ln|\widehat{f}\,(y)|\, dy =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2kb\,|\lambda|^k \ln|\lambda|} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{b|\lambda|^j}^{b|\lambda|^{j+1}} \ln\Big(\,|\widehat{f}\,(y)\widehat{f}\,(-y)|\,\Big)\, dy =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2k\,|\lambda|^k \ln|\lambda|} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\lambda|^j \int_{1}^{|\lambda|} \ln\Big(\,|\widehat{f}\,(ub|\lambda|^j)\widehat{f}\,(-ub|\lambda|^j)|\,\Big)\, du =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2k\,|\lambda|^k \ln|\lambda|} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\lambda|^j \int_{1}^{|\lambda|} \ln\Big(\,|\,\widehat{f}\,(ub\lambda^j)\,\widehat{f}\,(-ub\lambda^j)\,|\,\Big)\, du =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2k\,|\lambda|^k \ln|\lambda|} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\lambda|^j \int_{1}^{\lambda} \Big(\ln|\widehat{f}\,(bu)\widehat{f}\,(-bu)| + \sum_{i=1}^{j} \ln|A(ub\lambda^j)A(-ub\lambda^j)|\Big)\, du =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2k\,|\lambda|^k \ln|\lambda|} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\lambda|^j \sum_{i=1}^{j} \int_{1}^{\lambda} \left(\ln|A(ub\lambda^j)| + \ln|A(-ub\lambda^j)|\right)\, du =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{(|\lambda|-1)\,\ln M(A)}{k\,|\lambda|^k\,\ln|\lambda|} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j\,|\lambda|^j =$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{(|\lambda|-1)\,\ln M(A)}{k\,|\lambda|^k\,\ln|\lambda|} \left(\,-|\lambda|(|\lambda|-1)^{-2}(|\lambda|^k-1) + k|\lambda|^k(|\lambda|-1)^{-1}\,\right) =$$

$$\frac{\ln M(A)}{\ln\lambda}.$$

Applications of Theorem 2 The following computations support Conjecture 1.

The characteristic function f of $[0,1]$ satisfies $f(x) = f(2x) + f(2x-1)$. Therefore $A(y) = (1+e^{2\pi iy})/2$ so $\rho(f) = 1$ which equals the Hausdorff dimension of f. We observe that $|\widehat{f}(y)| = |\sin y/y|$ decays like $|y|^{-1}$.

The uniform measure f on Cantor's ternary set satisfies $f(x) = \frac{3}{2}f(3x) + \frac{3}{2}f(3x-2)$. Therefore $A(y) = (1+e^{6\pi iy})/2$ so $\rho(f) = \ln 2/\ln 3 \approx 0.6309$ which equals the Hausdorff dimension of f. This suggests that $\widehat{f}(y)$ decays like $|y|^{-\ln 2/\ln 3}$.

The measure f defined by a Bernoulli convolution and PV number λ satisfies $f(x) = \frac{|\lambda|}{2}f(\lambda x) + \frac{|\lambda|}{2}f(\lambda x - 1)$. Therefore $A(y) = (1+e^{2\pi i\lambda y})/2$ so $\rho(f) = \ln 2/\ln|\lambda|$ which equals the Hausdorff dimension of f computed by Peres, Schlag and Solomnyak [30]. This suggests that $\widehat{f}(y)$ decays like $|y|^{-\ln 2/\ln|\lambda|}$.

Let f be a nonzero distribution that satisfies $f(2x) = 2f(2x) + 2f(2x-1) - 2f(2x-3)$. Therefore $A(y) = 1 + e^{2\pi iy} - e^{4\pi iy}$ so $\rho(f) = -\ln((1+\sqrt{5})/2)/\ln 2 \approx 0.6942$. This suggests that $|\widehat{f}(y)|$ grows like $|y|^{-\rho(f)}$

Proof of Theorem 3 Uses algebraic results in Section 2 with the use of the functional Equation 4 as in the proof of Theorem 2. Details will be provided in the full paper.

4 Multiscale Constructions

Let λ be a PV number and let $C, D, V, \lambda = \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ be defined as in Section 2. A vector $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called admissible if $\sigma_1 = 0$, $\sigma_j > 0$ for $j \geq 2$, and whenever $2 \leq j < k \leq n$ and λ_j and λ_k are nonreal complex conjugate pairs then $\sigma_j = \sigma_k$. We denote the set of admissible vectors by $\mathbb{R}^n_a$. It is an open cone and admits the partial order $\sigma \leq \xi$ if and only if $\sigma_j \leq \xi_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 2 *The quasilattice corresponding to $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$ is*

$$\mathfrak{L}(\sigma) = \{\, (V^T\ell)_1 \,:\, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } |(V^T\ell)_j| < \sigma_j \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq n \,\}.$$

Lemma 7 *If $\sigma, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$ then*

$$0 \in \mathfrak{L}(\sigma) \text{ and } \mathfrak{L}(\sigma) = -\mathfrak{L}(\sigma),$$

$$\mathfrak{L}(\sigma) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(\xi) \text{ if and only if } \sigma \leq \xi,$$

$$\mathfrak{L}(\sigma) + \mathfrak{L}(\xi) = \mathfrak{L}(\sigma + \xi).$$

Proof The first assertion is obvious and the second and third follow from the density assertion of Lemma 5.

Lemma 8 *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$. If $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}(\sigma)\backslash\{0\}$ then $|(V^T\ell)_1| \geq \prod_{j=2}^n \sigma_j^{-1}$. The minimal distance between the points in $\mathfrak{L}(\sigma)$ is $2^{1-n}\prod_{j=2}^n \sigma_j^{-1}$.*

Proof If $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n\backslash\{0\}$ then $\prod_{j=1}^n (V^T\ell)_j \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ is nonzero and a symmetric polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n]$ so is a nonzero integer, implying the first assertion. The second assertion follows since lemma 7 implies that the differences between points in $\mathfrak{L}(\sigma)$ are in $\mathfrak{L}(2\sigma)$.

Lemma 9 *If $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$ and $L > |\det V| \prod_{j=2}^n \sigma_j^{-1}$ then $\mathfrak{L}(\sigma)$ contains a nonzero point in the interval $(-L, L)$.*

Proof Let $X = (V^T)^{-1}\left[(-L,L) \times (-\sigma_1, \sigma_1) \cdots (-\sigma_n, \sigma_n)\right]^T$. Then $X = -X$ and

$$\text{volume } X = 2^n\,|\det V|^{-1}\,L\prod_{j=2}^n \sigma_j > 2^n.$$

The result follows from Minkowski's Lemma 6 since if $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ then $(V^T\ell)_1 \in (-L, L) \cap \mathfrak{L}(\sigma)$ if and only if $\ell \in X$.

Lemma 10 *If $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$ then there exists $M(\sigma) > 0$ such that every open interval of length $M(\sigma)$ contains a point in $\mathfrak{L}(\sigma)$.*

Proof Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n/(VV^T\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Since VV^T is nonsingular and has integer entries $VV^T\mathbb{Z}^n$ is a rank n subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ so G is isomorphic to a torus group. Let $q_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to G$ and $\varphi_n : G \to \mathbb{T}^n$ be the canonical epimorphisms and observe that

$$p_n\left((VV^T)^{-1}x\right) = \varphi_n \circ q_n(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{31}$$

Since the entries of $v = [\,1,\, \lambda,\, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1}\,]^T$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ the density assertion in Lemma 5 implies that

$$G = q_n\left((V\,[\,\mathbb{R} \times (-\sigma_1, \sigma_1) \times (-\sigma_n, \sigma_n)\,]^T\right). \tag{32}$$

Since G is compact there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$G = q_n\left((V\,[\,(-\kappa, \kappa) \times (-\sigma_1, \sigma_1) \times (-\sigma_n, \sigma_n)\,]^T\right). \tag{33}$$

Therefore Equation 31 implies that

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \varphi_n(G) = p_n\left((V^T)^{-1}\,[\,(-\kappa, \kappa) \times (-\sigma_1, \sigma_1) \times (-\sigma_n, \sigma_n)\,]^T\right) \tag{34}$$

and the result follows by choosing $M(\sigma) = 2\kappa$.

Lemma 11 *If $|c_0| = 1$ then $\lambda\mathfrak{L}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{L}([\,0,\, |\lambda_2|\,\sigma_2, ...,\, |\lambda_n|\,\sigma_n\,]^T)$.*

Proof Equation 23 gives $\lambda_j(V^T\ell)_j = (V^TC^T\ell)_j,\ j = 1, ..., n$ and hence

$$\lambda\mathfrak{L}(\sigma) = \{\,(V^TC^T\ell)_1 \,:\, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } |(V^TC^T\ell)_j| < |\lambda_j|\,\sigma_j \text{ for } j = 2, ..., n\,\}.$$

Since $\det C = (-1)^n c_0 = \pm 1$, $C^T\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and hence $\lambda\mathfrak{L}(\sigma) =$

$$\{\,(V^T\,\ell)_1 \,:\, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } |(V^T\,\ell)_j| < |\lambda_j|\,\sigma_j \text{ for } j = 2, ..., n\,\} = \mathfrak{L}([\,0,\, |\lambda_2|\,\sigma_2, \ldots, |\lambda_n|\,\sigma_n\,]^T).$$

Theorem 4 *If $|c_0| = 1$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$ then $\xi = [\,0,\, (1 - |\lambda_2|)\,\sigma_2, \ldots, (1 - |\lambda_n|)\,\sigma_n\,]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n_a$ and if f is a refinable distribution satisfying Equation 2 and $\tau_j \in \mathfrak{L}(\xi)$ then the spaces*

$$W_k = \mathit{span}\,\{\,f(\lambda^k\,x - \tau) \,:\, \tau \in \mathbb{L}(\sigma)\,\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{35}$$

satisfy $W_k \subset W_{k+1}$.

Proof If $\tau \in \mathbb{L}(\sigma)$ then Equation 2 implies that

$$f(\lambda^k\,x - \tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j f(\lambda^{k+1}\,x - \lambda\tau - \tau_j).$$

Since $|c_0| = 1$, Lemma 11 implies that

$$\lambda\,\tau \in \mathfrak{L}([\,0,\, |\lambda_1|\,\sigma_1, ...,\, |\lambda_n|\,\sigma_n\,]^T),$$

and hence Lemma 7 implies that

$$\lambda\,\tau + \tau_j \in \mathfrak{L}([\,0,\, |\lambda_1|\,\sigma + \xi_1, \ldots, |\lambda_n|\,\sigma_n + \xi_n\,]^T) = \mathfrak{L}(\sigma).$$

Theorem 4 provides the foundation of a multi-scale theory of refinable distributions whose dilations are PV numbers λ that are units in the ring of integers in the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ and whose translates are in quasilattices associated to λ. The union of all the quasilattices equals the set $\mathbb{Z}[\,1,\, \lambda,\, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1}\,]$ which is a dense rank n subset of $\mathbb{R}$. It can be shown that the Fourier transform of the sum of unit point measures at each point of a quasilattice is a tempered distribution supported on the countable set $\mathbb{Z}[\,1,\, \lambda,\, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1}\,]$. These quasilattices constitute a class of quasicrystals which have been extensively studied. We refer the reader to papers by Bombieri [4], [5], the book by Senechal [36], and references therein.

References

[1] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer, New York, 1978.

[2] A. S. Besicovitch, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1954.

[3] H. Bohr, *Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen I.* Acta Mathematica, 45 (1924), 29–127.

[4] E. Bombieri and J. Taylor, *Which distributions of matter diffract? An initial investigation*, Journal de Physique Colloques, 47 (1986), (C3),C3-1-9-C3-28.

[5] E. Bombieri and J. Taylor, *Quasicrystals, tilings, and algebraic number theory: some preliminary connections*, Contemporary Mathematics, 64 (1987), 241–264.

[6] D. W. Boyd, *Kronecker's theorem and Lehmer's problem for polynomials in several variables*, Journal of Number Theory, 13 (1981), 116–121.

[7] J. W. S. Cassels, *Diophantine Approximation*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics No. 45, Cambridge University Press, 1965.

[8] X. R. Dai, D. J. Feng, Y.Wang, *Refinable functions with non-integer dilations*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 250 (2007) 1–20.

[9] E. Dubrowolski, W. Lawton and A. Schinzel, *On a problem of Lehmer*, Studies in Pure Mathematics to the memory of Paul Turán (ed. P. Erdos), 135-144, Budapest, 1983.

[10] P. Erdös, *On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions*, American Journal of Mathematics, 61 (1939), 974–976.

[11] G. Everest and T. Ward, *Heights of Polynomials and Entropy in Algebraic Dynamics*, Springer, London, 1999.

[12] P. M.Gruber and C. G. Lekkerkerker, *Geometry of Numbers*, North–Holland, 1987.

[13] G. Hardy, *A problem of diophantine approximation*, Journal of the Indian Mathematics Society, 11(1919), 205–243.

[14] J. Jensen, *Sur unnouvelet important théorem de la théorie des fonctions*, Acta Mathematica, 22 (1899), 359–364.

[15] J. P. Kahane, *Sur la distribution de certaines séries aléatoires*, in: Colloque de Théorie des Nombres, Univ. Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 1969, Mém. Soc. Math. France, 25 (1971) 119–122.

[16] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *A Primer of Real Analytic Functions*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.

[17] W. Lawton, *Heights of algebraic numbers and Szegö's theorem*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 46 (2), (1975), 47–50.

[18] W. Lawton, *A generalization of a theorem of Kronecker*, Journal of the Science Faculty of Chiang Mai University, 4(1-2), (1977), 15–23.

[19] W. Lawton, *A problem of Boyd concerning geometric means of polynomials*, Journal of Number Theory, 16(3), (1983), 356–362.

[20] W. Lawton, *Infinite convolution products and refinable distributions on Lie groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 352(6), (2000), 2913–2936.

[21] W. Lawton, *Proof of the hyperplane zeros conjecture of Lagarias and Wang*, The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 14(4), (2008), 588–605.

[22] S. Lojasiewicz, *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.

[23] T. Le, *Homology torsion growth and Mahler measure*, Comment. Math. Helv., 89(3), (2014), 719–757.

[24] D. H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Annals of Mathematics, 34(2), (1933), 461–479.

[25] K. Mahler, *On some inequalities for polynomials in several variables*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 37, (1962), 341–344

[26] Y. I. Manin and A. A. Panchishkin, *Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[27] Y. Meyer, *Algebraic Numbers and Harmonic Analysis*, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.

[28] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der Zahlen*, R. G. Teubner, Leipzig–Berlin, 1910. Chelsea, reprint 1953. Bibliotheca Mathematica Teubneriana, Band 40 Johnson Reprint Corp., New York–London 1968.

[29] M. Newman, *Integral Matrices*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[30] Y. Peres, W. Schlag, and B. Solomyak, *Sixty years of Bernoulli convolutions*, In Fractal geometry and stochastics, II (Greifswald/Koserow, 1998), volume 46 of Progr. Probab., pages 39–65. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.

[31] C. Pisot, *La répartition modulo 1 et nombres réels algébriques*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, II, Ser. 7, (1938), 205–248.

[32] M. Raynaud, *Curbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 71(1), (1985), 207–233.

[33] M. Raynaud, *Sous-variétés d'une variété abélienne et points de torsion*, In Arithmetic and Geometry, Vol. I, volume 35 of Progr. Math., pages 327—353. Birkhäser Boston, Boston, Massachussetts, 1983.

[34] R. Salem, *A remarkable class of algebraic integers. Proof of a conjecture of Vijayaraghavan*, Duke Mathematical Journal, 11, (1944), 103–108.

[35] R. Salem, *Algebraic Numbers and Fourier Analysis*, Heath mathematical monographs, Boston, Massachussetts, 1963.

[36] M. Senechal, *Quasicrystals and geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[37] A. Thue, *Über eine Eigenschaft, die keine transzendente Grösse haben kann*, Christiania Vidensk. selsk. Skrifter, 2(20), (1912), 1-15.

[38] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The zeros of certain integral functions*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 25, (1926), 283–302.